OPENCHRISTIANPRESS

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO THE AGE

Exposing Modern Deceptions, Counterfeit Christianity, and the Church's Captivity to the Spirit of the Age

PART III

COUNTERFEIT CHRISTIANITY AND THE CAPTIVITY OF THE CHURCH

CHAPTER 12

Church as Business, Ministry as Industry, and the Celebrity Brand

Prof. Sixbert SANGWA
Open Christian University | Center for Faith and Work
ORCID 0000-0001-8650-1444 | ssangwa@openchristian.education

Edited by Prof. Dr. Placide Mutabazi

First Open Christian Press edition, 2026
Open Christian Press, an Open Christian University imprint
books.openchristian.education

CHAPTER PUBLICATION METADATA

Abstract

This chapter examines what happens when the market does not merely enter Christian preaching but begins to redesign the church that carries it. Building upon the preceding analysis of prosperity theology, it argues that commercialised Christianity emerges when ecclesial identity and mission are subordinated to revenue, growth, visibility, personality, consumer satisfaction, institutional expansion, and brand protection. Under this logic, administration ceases to serve ministry and begins to define it: congregants become customers, worshippers become audiences, preaching becomes content, discipleship becomes product consumption, pastoral influence becomes monetisable attention, and the shepherd becomes a celebrity asset. The chapter distinguishes lawful administration, salaries, budgeting, publishing, technology, strategic planning, and large-scale ministry from corporate managerialism, financial opacity, personality-centred branding, paid spiritual access, exploitative product ecosystems, dynastic succession, volunteer abuse, donor manipulation, and institutional self-preservation. It traces the historical development of religious commerce from sacred exchange and simony through revival entrepreneurship, broadcasting, church-growth methodologies, megachurch systems, and platform-mediated religious influence. Institutional theory, agency theory, consumer-culture analysis, celebrity studies, and parasociality are used critically under Scripture. Through sustained exposition of Matthew 21:12-17, John 10:1-18, Acts 20:17-35, 1 Peter 5:1-5, and 3 John 5-10, the chapter presents the church as Christ's purchased flock, body, household, temple, and Bride, and ministers as servants, stewards, elders, and under-shepherds rather than proprietors. Particular attention is given to African Christianity, digital platforms, ministry franchises, family-controlled churches, governance, labour, fundraising imagery, and the construction of celebrity by congregations as well as leaders. The chapter concludes with a programme of repentance, restitution, governance reform, ordinary pastoral faithfulness, and readiness for Christ's return.

Keywords: church commercialisation; ministry industry; celebrity pastors; religious branding; church governance; consumer Christianity; rapture readiness

Publication details

Book: *The Gospel According to the Age: Exposing Modern Deceptions, Counterfeit Christianity, and the Church's Captivity to the Spirit of the Age*
Chapter: Chapter 12: Church as Business, Ministry as Industry, and the Celebrity Brand
Author: Prof. Sixbert SANGWA
Book editor: Prof. Dr. Placide Mutabazi
Publisher: Open Christian Press, an Open Christian University imprint
Edition: First edition
Publication year: 2026
Language: English

Suggested citation

Sangwa, S. (2026). Church as business, ministry as industry, and the celebrity brand. In P. Mutabazi (Ed.), *The Gospel according to the age: Exposing modern deceptions, counterfeit Christianity, and the church's captivity to the spirit of the age* (Chapter 12). Open Christian Press.

Rights and Scripture notice

Publisher: books.openchristian.education | editor@openchristian.education

When the Shepherd Becomes the Logo

A shepherd's name may appear on a sign so that travellers can find the flock. His voice may travel through a microphone so that thousands can hear the Word. His face may appear on a screen because cameras have become ordinary instruments of communication. None of these things makes him a celebrity. Visibility can be a consequence of faithful service.

The danger begins when the sign becomes larger than the sheep, the camera begins to determine the sermon, the institution cannot imagine life after the founder, and the name that was meant to identify a servant becomes the chief asset of the ministry. The shepherd is then converted into a logo. His biography becomes the institution's mythology. His lifestyle becomes evidence of blessing. His preferences become organisational policy. His family becomes the natural line of succession. His critics are treated as threats to the mission because the mission and the man have been made difficult to separate.

The flock also changes. Members are counted, segmented, targeted, retained, and mobilised. Their giving histories can be analysed, their attention measured, their testimonies edited, and their loyalty converted into social proof. Worship services are timed for audience flow. Sermons are cut into clips. Conferences are designed around headline personalities. Premium access creates spiritual status. Ministry products expand from books and music into courses, memberships, branded objects, mentorship tiers, private groups, and paid proximity to the celebrated leader. The language remains Christian, but the operating imagination increasingly resembles an enterprise seeking customers, recurring revenue, market reach, brand loyalty, and protection from reputational loss.

Chapter 11 examined the doctrine and spiritual economy of prosperity teaching. It showed how faith can be reduced to a mechanism, giving to investment, testimony to advertising, and blessing to measurable increase. Its final warning now becomes institutional. Once revenue, expansion, property, visibility, influence, and platform reach are treated as signs of divine favour, the teaching does not remain in the pulpit. It redesigns the organisation that carries it. The pulpit becomes a brand engine, the congregation becomes a market, and the minister becomes a celebrity asset.

Commercialisation is therefore more than greed in an individual heart. Greed is ancient and can flourish in a small congregation without sophisticated systems. Commercialisation occurs when market logic becomes embedded in the church's structures, language, incentives, technologies, governance, leadership formation, and practical definitions of success. It can survive the repentance or departure of one leader because it has been built into the machinery.

The controlling claim of this chapter is that commercialised Christianity emerges when the church's identity and mission are subordinated to revenue, growth, visibility, personality, consumer satisfaction, institutional expansion, and brand protection. Administration then ceases to serve ministry and begins to redefine it. Congregants become customers, worshippers become audiences, discipleship becomes consumption, spiritual influence becomes monetisable attention, and institutional survival becomes more urgent than faithfulness to Christ.

This claim must be stated with precision. A church is not commercial merely because it owns property, employs staff, pays a pastor, keeps accounts, prepares a budget, sells a book, charges a conference fee, uses a logo, streams a service, or develops a strategic plan. Paul organised collections. The early church appointed servants to oversee distribution. Mission required travel, hospitality, letters, labour, and money. Scripture commands support for faithful workers and condemns the withholding of wages. Disorder is not spirituality, incompetence is not humility, and financial ignorance does not protect a ministry from corruption.

This analysis also extends Sangwa's (2026) earlier treatment of celebrity ministry, branding, spiritual commerce, governance, and protection of the vulnerable. The issue is jurisdiction. Do budgets serve the mission Christ has given, or does the need to protect revenue alter what may be preached? Does communication help people encounter the truth, or does the brand require a carefully managed personality? Do metrics assist stewardship, or do they become verdicts upon divine favour? Does a board govern independently, or merely ratify the founder's will? Are products priced according to genuine labour and costs, or is spiritual longing being monetised? Does an institution exist for the flock, or is the flock expected to preserve the institution at any moral price?

A hammer can build a house or become the symbol of a tradesman's identity. A balance sheet can disclose stewardship or conceal domination behind impressive totals. A platform can carry the gospel across borders or train the minister to obey the appetite of an algorithm. The tool is not the lord. The moment it becomes lord, the church may still speak about Christ while being governed by another logic.

The hour makes this examination urgent. The last second before midnight is not a date on a speculative calendar. It is a watchman's description of moral proximity. Christ may return, and every servant will give account. A church prepared for the Bridegroom cannot be organised principally around the survival of a human name. A shepherd ready to meet the Chief Shepherd cannot treat sheep purchased by Christ's blood as an audience acquired through strategy. The lamp cannot be filled with brand equity. The oil cannot be purchased through visibility. The Bride belongs to the Bridegroom.

What Commercialisation Is, and What It Is Not

The church as business

In law, a church may need a registered entity, governing documents, bank accounts, employment contracts, property records, insurance, and compliance systems. In ordinary speech, people may call these the "business side" of ministry. Such arrangements can be prudent. They give visible form to responsibility and help prevent confusion concerning authority, money, property, and care.

The phrase *church as business* means something more serious in this chapter. It describes the subordination of ecclesial identity to commercial assumptions such as customer acquisition, market share, product differentiation, brand loyalty, revenue optimisation, executive control, competitive expansion, and institutional self-preservation. The church no longer merely uses administrative instruments. It begins to understand itself through them.

This shift is often gradual. A congregation seeking to welcome visitors studies their experience. That can be wise hospitality. The danger appears when visitor satisfaction becomes the controlling criterion and any doctrine that reduces retention is quietly softened. A church improves communication. That can serve truth. The danger appears when every message is screened through reputational risk and the institution's image becomes more protected than injured people. A leader develops a succession plan. That can be responsible. The danger appears when succession is treated as the transfer of a founder's estate rather than the recognition of biblically qualified shepherds.

Ministry as industry

A ministry industry is the wider ecosystem through which religious influence circulates and is converted into economic, social, or political capital. It includes conferences, broadcasting, publishing, music, speaking circuits, sponsorships, endorsements, consulting, licensing, merchandise, digital subscriptions, training credentials, mentorship programmes, platform partnerships, and cross-promotional networks.

Industries organise repeated production, distribution, pricing, promotion, and consumption. When ministry acquires this form, sermons become reusable content units, testimonies become promotional assets, conferences become annual revenue events, personalities become cross-platform properties, and followers become an addressable audience. The same system can distribute biblical teaching efficiently or industrialise religious manipulation. The moral question is not whether production occurs. It is what is produced, how it is offered, what is promised, who benefits, who bears the cost, and whether Christ's people are being served or harvested.

Celebrity pastor

Fame and celebrity are related but not identical. A faithful minister may become well known because the Lord uses his teaching widely. The apostles themselves were publicly recognised. A Christian author may have readers across nations. A pastor may carry unusual responsibility and receive lawful honour. Scripture does not require useful servants to hide their work or pretend that influence does not exist.

Celebrity ministry emerges when religious authority depends increasingly upon a curated public persona, recognisability, aspirational image, platform visibility, emotional attachment, controlled access, and practical immunity from ordinary accountability. The celebrity is not merely known. He is consumed as a symbol. Followers may feel that they know him through repeated mediated contact, even when he cannot know them personally. The ministry then depends upon maintaining the emotional bond between audience and persona.

Horton and Wohl (1956) called such one-sided mediated intimacy *parasocial interaction*. Digital platforms intensify it by adding comments, livestreams, direct messages, follower milestones, and occasional acknowledgements that can make distance feel like relationship. A 2025 study of Filipino priests found that followers sought scriptural insight and connection through social media, while most relationships remained largely one-sided even as clergy adopted influencer cues such as striking thumbnails, follower milestones, and donation links (Bartolome, 2025). The context differs from independent evangelical and Pentecostal ministry, but the mechanism is instructive. Mediated familiarity can enlarge service, yet it can also create deference without mutual knowledge and loyalty without pastoral accountability.

Branding and witness

A brand is a set of names, symbols, narratives, expectations, and associations through which people recognise an organisation or person. Einstein (2008) shows how religious organisations in a competitive media environment can become recognisable faith brands built around symbols, courses, experiences, and spokespeople. A church logo, consistent publication design, clear mission statement, and accurate public identity can reduce confusion. White's (2019) study of missional branding argues that branding can assist communication and participation when it is subordinated to the *missio Dei*, the mission of God.

The problem is not recognisability. It is theological inversion. The church becomes brand-centred when communication no longer makes Christ and the congregation's calling clear, but cultivates loyalty to a personality, lifestyle, experience, or institutional aura. Sangwa (2025) warns that personal branding can confuse social influence with spiritual impact and turn visibility metrics into poor substitutes for kingdom fruit. The difference can be expressed plainly: faithful communication makes the servant transparent to the message; celebrity branding makes the message support the servant's image.

Consumer church

Consumerism is not simply buying too much. It is a way of relating to goods, institutions, traditions, and even persons as objects selected for private fulfilment. Miller (2004) argues that consumer culture fragments traditions into detachable elements chosen according to preference. Applied to church life, the consumer asks which congregation provides the best experience, convenience, networking opportunity, children's product, musical style, social status, therapeutic support, or proximity to a visible leader.

Choice is not inherently sinful. A believer may need to leave a false, abusive, or unfaithful church. Geography, language, disability access, children's safety, doctrine, and pastoral competence matter. Consumer Christianity begins when the church is evaluated principally by what it supplies to the individual, while covenant, service, discipline, endurance, submission to truth, and mutual responsibility disappear. The member behaves like a customer whose dissatisfaction cancels obligation. The church behaves like a provider afraid to offend the customer.

Institutional self-preservation

Institutions can preserve memory, doctrine, property, training, and mission across generations. Their continuity may serve the kingdom. Self-preservation becomes idolatrous when the survival, reputation, assets, or expansion of the institution is treated as a moral good higher than truth, justice, repentance, protection of the vulnerable, or obedience to Christ.

At that point, scandal is managed rather than confessed. Financial questions are spiritualised. Workers are sacrificed to protect leadership. Victims are treated as reputational threats. Doctrinal correction is postponed because donors may leave. The institution that was built to carry a witness begins to consume the witness in order to remain standing.

The Church Christ Owns

Before diagnosing commercial structures, the church must recover the answer to a prior question: What is the church? If the church is primarily a voluntary association supplying religious services, commercial reasoning appears natural. If it is a platform built around an unusually gifted founder, brand protection appears prudent. If it is a corporation competing in a market of meaning, growth and retention easily become final measures.

Scripture begins elsewhere. The church is not created by customer demand. It is created by the gospel. God calls sinners through the proclamation of Christ, unites them to the Son by the Spirit, and forms them into a people who belong to Him. The church exists because Christ died, rose, reigns, gives gifts, and gathers His own.

Paul calls the church the body of Christ in 1 Corinthians 12:12–27. Members are not interchangeable consumers visiting a service provider. They are joined to one another in a living communion where the apparently weaker are indispensable. A market values a person according to purchasing power, influence, productivity, or attractiveness to the brand. The body honours members because Christ has placed them there. The poor believer is not a low-value customer. The disabled believer is not an unsuccessful testimony. The elderly saint is not a declining demographic. Each belongs to Christ.

The church is also God's household (1 Timothy 3:14-15). A household contains authority, duty, affection, provision, correction, inheritance, and mutual dependence. It cannot be reduced to a weekly event. The pastor does not sell fatherly attention, and the member does not purchase belonging. The household is ordered by truth because it is "the pillar and foundation of the truth." This makes doctrinal integrity more important than market acceptance.

The church is God's temple (1 Corinthians 3:16-17; Ephesians 2:19-22). Its holiness does not arise from architecture, lighting, stage design, or institutional prestige. God dwells among His people by the Spirit. Leaders who manipulate, divide, or exploit the congregation do not merely damage an organisation. They profane what belongs to God.

The church is Christ's flock. In Acts 20:28, elders are commanded to shepherd "the church of God, which he purchased with his own blood." Whatever textual and translation questions surround the precise final phrase, the theological force is unmistakable: the church was obtained at infinite cost. A pastor did not acquire the congregation through charisma, advertising, sacrifice, family inheritance, or years of labour. Even if he planted the congregation, he did not purchase it. The blood belongs to Christ. The sheep belong to Christ. The field belongs to God.

Paul makes the same point against ministerial partisanship in 1 Corinthians 3:5-9. Paul and Apollos are servants through whom people believed. One plants and another waters, but God gives growth. The ministers are not rival owners. The believers are "God's field, God's building." The sentence abolishes the language of possession that often surrounds founder-centred churches: *my church, my sons, my branches, my people, my anointing, my vision*. A minister may describe responsibility without claiming ownership, but the heart should tremble when stewardship begins to speak like possession.

The church is the Bride of Christ (Ephesians 5:25-27; Revelation 19:6-9). The Bride does not exist to enlarge the reputation of the best man. Ministry that courts the Bride for the servant's glory commits a form of spiritual adultery. John the Baptist understood the boundary. The friend of the bridegroom rejoices at the bridegroom's voice and says, "He must increase, but I must decrease" (John 3:29-30). Decrease does not require uselessness or denial of responsibility. It means the servant's joy is fulfilled when attention passes through him to Christ.

These biblical identities produce a different account of leadership. Ministers are servants, not proprietors; stewards, not sovereigns; heralds, not inventors; shepherds, not consumers of the flock; examples, not idols; and under-shepherds awaiting the Chief Shepherd. In 1 Corinthians 4:1-5, Paul asks that ministers be regarded as servants of Christ and managers of God's mysteries. The requirement is faithfulness. Public praise and criticism are not irrelevant, but neither is final. The Lord will bring hidden motives to light.

This theology corrects both market triumphalism and romantic smallness. A congregation of thousands is not necessarily commercial, and a congregation of twenty is not necessarily pure. A large ministry may use extensive systems to serve faithfully. A small ministry may revolve around one insecure personality who controls every decision and every offering. Scale increases complexity and risk, but the decisive question remains lordship. Who owns the church in practice? Whose pleasure governs? What is protected when truth becomes costly?

From Sacred Exchange to Platform Religion

Commercialisation has no single founder and no single date. It develops whenever sacred authority, economic exchange, institutional power, communication technology, and human desire converge. A responsible genealogy must therefore resist two errors. The first romanticises the past, as though earlier churches were free from money, patronage, ambition, office-seeking, and manipulation. The second treats every modern organisational practice as apostasy. History reveals continuity and change.

Sacred economies and the sale of privilege

Ancient temples often stood within wider economies of pilgrimage, sacrifice, exchange, craft, taxation, and prestige. The existence of an economy around worship did not make every transaction corrupt. Sacrificial animals had to be obtained, travellers needed accommodation, and buildings required maintenance. The moral crisis appeared when access, purity, office, or divine favour became instruments of exploitation.

The New Testament confronts this impulse repeatedly. Simon Magus attempted to purchase the authority associated with the gift of the Spirit (Acts 8:18-24). The sons of Sceva treated the name of Jesus as usable spiritual power (Acts 19:13-17). False teachers exploited believers with fabricated stories (2 Peter 2:1-3). The problem is not only receiving money. It is converting grace, authority, access, or hope into property controlled by the religious specialist.

Church history supplies its own warnings. Simony, named after Simon's attempted purchase, came to describe the buying and selling of ecclesiastical office or sacred privilege. Patronage could support mission, education, art, and care, but it could also make office dependent upon wealth and political favour. Indulgence preaching became entangled with fundraising in ways that helped provoke the Reformation. These histories differ from modern conferences, media ministries, and product sales. Their common lesson is narrower: sacred institutions repeatedly discover that fear, guilt, aspiration, grief, and hope can be monetised.

Revival, publicity, and religious entrepreneurship

The modern period enlarged the scale of religious communication. Printing lowered reproduction costs. Urbanisation concentrated populations. Revival meetings required venues, travel, publicity, music, and organisation. Voluntary societies developed fundraising systems for missions, education, and relief. Radio and television allowed a preacher to enter homes beyond denominational and geographic boundaries. These developments carried genuine blessing. Scripture, preaching, worship, and appeals for mercy could travel farther than before.

They also rewarded certain forms of religious entrepreneurship. The minister who could gather crowds, tell compelling stories, raise funds, and sustain public attention acquired unusual institutional power. Broadcast ministries depended upon regular donations and therefore faced pressure to retain audiences. The camera favoured recognisable personalities. The mailing list made supporters measurable. A ministry could increasingly exist as a mediated relationship between a public figure and dispersed donors rather than as a local body where shepherds and sheep knew one another.

This history should not be reduced to contempt for revivalism or media. Many evangelists preached Christ faithfully and sacrificed greatly. The danger lies in incentives. A system dependent upon continuous attention can tempt leaders to intensify novelty, urgency, testimony, controversy, or promise. What is quiet, ordinary, patient, and local becomes difficult to broadcast. The conversion of one sinner may be heaven's joy, but a programme supported by audience ratings needs a story that can be repeated next week.

Church growth and managerial reason

Twentieth-century church-growth movements asked practical questions about barriers to evangelism, cultural communication, organisational health, and the multiplication of congregations. Some insights corrected avoidable disorder and encouraged serious attention to mission. Yet techniques developed to assist mission could become a managerial theology in which effectiveness was measured chiefly by numerical expansion.

Once growth becomes the controlling good, doctrine, worship, leadership, and pastoral care may be redesigned to remove friction. The congregation is studied as a market. Communities become target groups. Sermons become delivery systems. People are invited through felt needs, and the hope is that deeper formation will follow. Sometimes it does. Sometimes the method forms a church that cannot later endure the demands of repentance, discipline, suffering, or costly truth because the institution has taught members to expect continual satisfaction.

Institutional theory helps explain why such models spread. Organisations imitate practices that appear successful or legitimate in their environment. DiMaggio and Powell (1983) described coercive, mimetic, and normative pressures that make institutions increasingly alike. Churches may copy visible ministries because donors, members, consultants, networks, or professional norms reward the same structures. This does not require conspiracy. Uncertainty itself encourages imitation. A pastor sees another ministry growing through a particular brand, conference format, branch system, worship style, or leadership vocabulary and assumes that the visible form caused the spiritual fruit.

Sangwa and Mutabazi (2025) apply institutional theory, agency theory, and virtue ethics to the commercialisation of Pentecostal, charismatic, and evangelical ministry. They argue that neoliberal market pressures, globalisation, and digital media can encourage branding, financialisation, transactional faith, and weak governance, even while entrepreneurial dynamism may increase reach and organisational capacity. Their balanced conclusion is important: the question is not whether initiative and innovation are present, but whether they remain ordered by stewardship, humility, sacrificial service, and accountable ecclesiology.

The megachurch and multisite system

Large congregations are not a modern invention, and size is not a sin. The church in Jerusalem counted thousands. Large churches may support specialist ministries, theological education, mercy work, missions, counselling, translation, and public witness that smaller congregations cannot sustain alone. They can also distribute leadership across teams and create robust controls.

Yet large scale changes the relation between leader and congregation. Most members cannot know the senior pastor personally. Systems, screens, departments, and delegated structures mediate the relationship. A multisite model may allow teaching and resources to reach several communities, but it can also centralise brand, doctrine, finance, and authority around a distant personality. Local elders may function less as shepherds and more as managers of a branch.

White and Pondani (2022) describe “church-franchise” as a possible missional innovation for church planting and leadership mentorship in African neo-Pentecostal and neo-prophetic contexts. Their constructive proposal recognises that replication, support, shared identity, and mentorship can serve mission. The commercial analogy nevertheless carries danger. A franchise normally protects standardisation, intellectual property, market territory, revenue flows, and brand consistency. When those assumptions dominate ecclesiology, a daughter church may be treated as an outlet, a local pastor as a franchise manager, and offerings as upward-flowing revenue.

The right question is not whether a network has branches. The apostolic church itself formed translocal relationships. The question is whether local congregations possess biblically qualified leadership, truthful accountability, meaningful responsibility, and freedom to obey Scripture when central authority is wrong. Unity is not uniform branding. Apostolic fellowship is not corporate ownership.

Digital platforms and the attention economy

Digital media have reduced the cost of global religious communication. A small church can stream a sermon, teach a diaspora, receive gifts, and maintain pastoral contact across distance. A persecuted or isolated believer may receive biblical instruction otherwise unavailable. Technology can be a servant of mission.

Platforms are not neutral pipes, however. They rank, recommend, monetise, and measure. Nieborg and Poell (2018) describe platformisation as a process through which cultural production becomes contingent upon platform infrastructures, markets, governance, and data. Religious content enters the same environment. Thumbnails compete, clips shorten, titles intensify, comments signal relevance, and algorithms reward content that holds attention.

Campbell (2020) shows that digital religious creatives can both empower and destabilise traditional authority. A person may acquire theological influence through communication skill rather than character, training, local accountability, or pastoral qualification. The platform can make the gifted novice appear mature, the controversial speaker appear prophetic, and the repeated persona appear personally known.

Digital reach also produces precise metrics. Followers, views, watch time, shares, donations, conversions, subscriptions, and engagement can be tracked. These data may assist stewardship. They can also discipline the minister. The sermon is subtly adjusted toward what performs. A biblical warning that loses followers appears ineffective. A dramatic claim that gains reach appears blessed. The algorithm does not announce itself as a theologian, but it begins to reward one kind of ministry and punish another.

The modern ministry industry is therefore not merely the old religious marketplace placed online. It is an environment where attention can be measured continuously, personality can be distributed globally, intimacy can be simulated, and every act of communication can be linked to donation, subscription, purchase, or recruitment. Technology does not create pride, greed, or deception. It can industrialise them.

When the Congregation Becomes a Market

A market is organised around exchange. The provider studies demand, differentiates the product, attracts the customer, delivers value, and seeks retention. These practices can be morally legitimate in ordinary commerce. They become spiritually distorting when transferred without correction into the church.

The gospel does not arise from demand. Humanity did not commission a message suited to its preferences. God announces what He has accomplished in Christ and commands all people to repent and believe. The message answers humanity’s deepest need, but fallen people do not reliably recognise that need. They may ask for inspiration while needing reconciliation, affirmation while needing repentance, or success while needing freedom from mammon.

A customer-oriented church begins by asking what people want and then adjusts the offering to secure participation. A missionary church begins by asking what God has spoken and then labours to communicate it truthfully, intelligibly, compassionately, and contextually. Both may study the community. Both may remove unnecessary barriers. The difference is that one treats preference as sovereign while the other treats people as neighbours who must be loved enough to hear the truth.

Consumer logic reshapes preaching first. Difficult doctrines are delayed indefinitely because visitors may not return. Judgment becomes “negative.” Holiness becomes legalism. Church discipline becomes bad customer service. Long exposition becomes unsuitable for attention spans the church has made little effort to form. The preacher learns to provide immediately usable principles, emotional uplift, humour, aspiration, and stories of visible transformation. None of these elements is inherently wrong. Their dominance becomes wrong when the sermon ceases to declare the whole counsel of God.

The service itself may be designed as a product. Arrival, lighting, sound, music, transitions, stage movement, announcements, and dismissal are coordinated for smooth experience. Excellence can honour God and protect people from distracting disorder. Yet excellence becomes spectacle when every moment must stimulate, silence feels like failure, lament is avoided, congregational participation is replaced by observation, and worshippers become critics of a production performed for them.

Chapter 5 showed that a consumer formed by entertainment expects the church to compete for attention. Reverence can feel dull, patient exposition too slow, and repentance emotionally unfriendly. The congregation then trains the ministry as surely as the ministry trains the congregation. Members leave when preferences are crossed. Donors withhold funds when influence declines. Social-media audiences reward intensity. The leader may begin as a faithful teacher and gradually become a content provider responding to real-time market signals.

Religious consumerism also weakens covenant. The customer has rights but few duties beyond payment. Membership, by contrast, involves mutual responsibility, service, correction, sacrifice, prayer, generosity, and perseverance. The customer asks whether the church is meeting personal needs. The member asks how Christ is calling the body to faithfulness and how each person should contribute. A healthy church cares deeply about needs, but it refuses to define people by appetite alone.

Partiality enters easily. James 2:1–7 condemns the honouring of the visibly rich and the humiliation of the poor within the assembly. Commercial logic reverses James by assigning practical importance according to donor potential, social influence, political access, or public usefulness. Wealthy members receive private attention. Prominent guests receive front seating. Ordinary saints wait. The poor become background for photographs, statistics, and appeals rather than brothers and sisters whose wisdom, dignity, and gifts matter.

A church may speak continually about reaching people while relating to actual people as units of growth. Newcomers are “leads.” Volunteers are “human capital.” Testimonies are “content.” Communities are “markets.” Such language can sometimes function as shorthand, but language eventually catechises. It teaches the institution what a person is. The church should be cautious whenever managerial vocabulary makes it easier to count people than to love them.

The Corporate Pastor and the Privatisation of the Church

Modern organisations require coordination. A senior pastor in a large church may oversee budgets, staff, safeguarding, facilities, legal compliance, education, missions, communication, and pastoral teams. Executive competence can protect the flock. The false alternative is not between prayer and planning, or between anointing and administration. Acts 6:1–7 shows the early church responding structurally to inequitable distribution rather than calling organisation unspiritual.

Corporate managerialism begins when executive categories reshape pastoral identity. The pastor is treated primarily as visionary founder, chief executive, public brand, institutional strategist, or growth catalyst. Elders become a board recruited for loyalty, resources, technical skill, or status rather than biblical qualification and independent judgment. The congregation becomes the workforce and customer base of the founder’s vision.

The vocabulary of vision requires careful examination. Biblical leaders received callings and gave direction. A church without clarity can drift. Yet “the vision” can become a private revelation that places one leader’s plans beyond testing. Scripture is then used to inspire implementation rather than judge the plan. Those who ask questions are accused of lacking alignment. The church’s mission is reduced to the founder’s distinctive programme, and faithfulness becomes loyalty to organisational direction.

Agency theory illuminates one dimension of the risk. In an organisation, principals entrust resources and authority to agents whose interests may diverge from those they serve (Eisenhardt, 1989). The analogy is imperfect because Christ, not the congregation, is the church’s final owner. Yet it helps explain why concentrated authority, information asymmetry, dependent boards, and weak disclosure create opportunity for leaders to pursue personal benefit while presenting it as ministry necessity.

The pastor may control what the board knows, who joins the board, how compensation is set, which transactions are disclosed, and how dissent is narrated. A board that exists only because the founder appointed it may be legally real but morally dependent. Members may be successful professionals and still lack freedom to challenge the person who granted their spiritual status.

Founder syndrome is not limited to churches. It appears when an organisation becomes excessively dependent upon the founder’s personality, relationships, knowledge, authority, or identity. In ministry, spiritual language can deepen the dependence. The founder is not only the original leader but “the set man,” “the father of the house,” “the carrier of the vision,” or the unique channel through whom God speaks. Ordinary governance controls are then framed as resistance to divine order.

Weber’s (1978) distinction between charismatic authority and its routinisation helps explain the succession crisis. Charismatic authority rests upon perceived extraordinary gifts and personal devotion. Institutions seeking continuity must translate that authority into offices, rules, traditions, and successors. Churches may respond through denominational structures, elected leadership, appointed elders, or family succession. The danger appears when charisma is treated as inheritable property. A spouse or child is assumed to possess the founder’s mantle because of blood, proximity, or brand familiarity.

Family service is not nepotism by definition. Scripture includes households that served together, and a leader’s child may be genuinely qualified. Nepotism occurs when kinship overrides character, competence, calling, congregational discernment, and accountability. A church becomes dynastic when leadership is treated as family inheritance and ministry assets as an estate. The congregation may have funded buildings, schools, media, and land for decades, yet practical ownership passes through one household.

This privatisation often hides behind sacrifice. Founders may have laboured without salary, endured opposition, and invested personal resources. Such sacrifice deserves gratitude. It does not create ownership of Christ’s flock. A midwife may assist at a birth without becoming the owner of the child. A planter may water faithfully without becoming the owner of God’s field.

Metrics as Practical Theology

Metrics answer real questions. How many people attended? Were funds used as promised? How many children are safe in a programme? How many students completed training? Did a broadcast reach the intended audience? Are members being baptised, discipled, serving, and cared for? Refusing measurement can conceal negligence.

A metric becomes practical theology when it begins to define what the church believes God values and blesses. Attendance, income, followers, buildings, campuses, book sales, invitations, views, and media mentions are treated not merely as data but as divine verdicts. The successful ministry is assumed to be faithful because it is visible. The struggling ministry is assumed to be deficient because it is small.

Scripture does count people. Acts records thousands responding to the gospel. Numbers matter because people matter. Yet Scripture also dismantles numerical triumphalism. Gideon's army was reduced so that Israel would not boast (Judges 7:1-8). David's census exposed the temptation to convert God-given strength into royal self-confidence (2 Samuel 24:1-17). Jesus allowed a hard teaching to drive many disciples away rather than revise truth for retention (John 6:60-69). The church in Smyrna was poor yet rich, while Laodicea claimed wealth and was spiritually wretched (Revelation 2:8-11; Revelation 3:14-22).

The distinction is between counting and glorying. Counting supports stewardship. Glorying converts the count into identity. A church can report attendance honestly without announcing that size proves superior anointing. A ministry can celebrate reach while asking whether hearers are being formed in truth. Growth can be received as mercy without becoming entitlement.

Vanity metrics are especially dangerous online. A video may receive millions of views because it is controversial, amusing, shocking, misleading, or repeatedly recommended. A follower may never become a disciple. A donation may be impulsive rather than informed. A click measures behaviour, not holiness. Platform analytics can show what attracted attention; they cannot reveal whether Christ was honoured.

Selection bias also shapes ministry testimony. Successes are publicised while failures remain invisible. The person who received employment after giving is invited to speak; those who gave and remained unemployed disappear from the denominator. A branch that grows is replicated; failed branches are quietly closed. A conference posts crowded angles but not empty sections. A leader's travel and honours are visible; the debt, staff exhaustion, or neglected local care may be hidden.

This does not mean every positive report is deception. It means that ministries should tell the truth about the whole field. Mature stewardship includes outcomes that do not flatter the institution. A faithful annual report can acknowledge goals not reached, complaints received, funds redirected, leaders disciplined, staff turnover, and lessons learned. Truthfulness is not bad branding. It is worship.

The deepest biblical metric is faithfulness. Faithfulness is not hostile to fruit, but it refuses to equate fruit with what can be quickly counted. A pastor who teaches sound doctrine to a small rural church, visits the sick, protects children, manages money honestly, and prepares saints to die in hope may never trend. Heaven is not confused by the absence of analytics.

The Celebrity Brand and the Illusion of Intimacy

Celebrity culture turns a person into a consumable public image. The image is not wholly false. It may include genuine gifts, convictions, suffering, humour, family life, and spiritual experience. Yet it is selected, edited, repeated, and distributed. The audience relates to a persona that can be known at scale without the ordinary mutuality of friendship, church membership, or pastoral care.

Beaty (2022) describes the interaction of personas, platforms, and profits in contemporary evangelical celebrity. These elements reinforce one another. A compelling persona attracts attention. Platforms multiply the persona. Attention produces invitations, sales, influence, and institutional power. Profit and visibility then finance further platform expansion.

Celebrity is not created by leaders alone. Audiences desire figures who simplify complexity, embody aspiration, and offer emotional certainty. Conference organisers require names that sell tickets. Publishers seek recognisable authors. Media outlets favour speakers who already command attention. Donors prefer ministries that display reach. Politicians seek religious figures who can mobilise constituencies. Other pastors borrow legitimacy by appearing beside famous leaders. The celebrity system is a network of mutual benefit.

Congregants may participate for spiritual reasons. They have been helped by a sermon and feel gratitude. They may rightly honour faithful labour. The distortion begins when honour becomes idealisation. The leader's words are accepted without examination. His clothing, phrases, gestures, travel, family, and preferences become models of spiritual advancement. Proximity to him confers status. A photograph becomes social capital. A private meeting appears to guarantee access to grace unavailable through ordinary fellowship.

Parasociality intensifies this attachment. Repeated exposure creates familiarity. The leader looks into the camera and speaks in the second person. Personal stories produce vulnerability. Livestreams simulate immediacy. Comments and occasional replies suggest reciprocity. The follower may know the leader's habits and family milestones while the leader does not know the follower exists.

This one-sided intimacy is not automatically manipulative. It is a normal effect of mediated communication. A responsible public minister recognises the asymmetry and refuses to exploit it. He does not imply personal covenant with strangers, demand loyalty that belongs to local shepherds, or monetise access to his supposed spiritual fatherhood.

The celebrity brand must protect narrative consistency. Weakness can be admitted if it strengthens authenticity, but certain facts threaten the asset: financial opacity, theological correction, failed predictions, broken relationships, staff testimony, or evidence that the institution is less healthy than the public image. Public relations then moves from truthful communication to narrative control. Legal threats, nondisclosure agreements, loyalty appeals, spiritual language, and selective disclosure may be used to preserve the brand.

Sangwa's work on personal branding identifies the moral tension between visibility and humility. The problem is not that Christians communicate competence or make work discoverable. It is that self-promotion can be renamed stewardship while the heart is trained to seek recognition. Proverbs 27:2 counsels that another person should praise you, not your own mouth. Philippians 2:3-4 commands believers to reject selfish ambition and consider others more important. These texts do not require silence about a ministry's work. They require that communication remain truthful, necessary, and ordered toward service rather than self-exaltation.

A useful test is substitution. If the founder's name were removed, would the ministry's mission remain intelligible? If the senior leader disappeared tomorrow, would qualified elders, sound doctrine, transparent systems, and local relationships sustain the church? If not, the institution may have built an audience around a personality rather than a body around Christ.

Celebrity immunity is the most dangerous result. The leader's visibility generates revenue, invitations, political access, and donor confidence. Correcting him threatens the livelihoods and reputations of many people. Board members, employees, publishers, family members, partner ministries, and followers therefore have incentives to reinterpret warning signs. The brand becomes too valuable to discipline.

This chapter does not yet provide the full treatment of coercive authority, retaliation, sexual exploitation, threats, or trauma. Those belong to Chapter 13. The present point is structural: celebrity systems raise the cost of truth. When many people depend upon one person's image, accountability becomes an economic threat before it becomes a spiritual duty.

Ministry as an Industry

Conferences, circuits, and commercial networks

Christian conferences can teach Scripture, strengthen fellowship, train workers, mobilise mission, and give believers access to teachers they might not otherwise hear. Travel, venue hire, security, accommodation, equipment, interpretation, administration, and publishing cost money. Charging a reasonable registration fee is not the sale of grace.

The conference becomes industrial when the spiritual gathering is organised chiefly as a recurring commercial product. Headline personalities are selected for market draw. Speakers appear across a circuit of mutually reinforcing events. Endorsements function as brand exchange. The same leaders invite, quote, promote, and certify one another. Access tiers create social hierarchy. The poor may watch highlights online while premium participants purchase proximity.

VIP seating deserves special scrutiny. Practical distinctions may be required for disability, safety, protocol, speakers, staff, or invited guests. Yet the deliberate sale of honour within the Christian assembly contradicts the moral direction of James 2:1–7. When wealth purchases a better spiritual location, private prayer, a photograph, a meal with the leader, or preferred access to ministry, the church reenacts the very partiality James condemns.

The same concern applies to donor tiers. Organisations may lawfully thank supporters and provide financial reports or gatherings for those carrying particular responsibilities. The danger appears when giving purchases spiritual status, prophetic access, ministerial recognition, or influence over church decisions. The Lord's Table has no premium section.

Product ecosystems

Books, music, films, software, courses, journals, devotionals, and educational programmes require labour. Christian workers do not cease to need food because their work concerns faith. Scripture permits material support for those who labour in preaching and teaching (1 Corinthians 9:7–14; 1 Timothy 5:17–18). A fair price can honour labour and sustain further work.

Commercialisation appears when the product no longer supplies a genuine good at a fair cost but claims to mediate spiritual benefit controlled by the seller. A course promises access to hidden revelation. A mentorship package implies transfer of anointing. A branded object is marketed as protection. A subscription places essential discipleship behind a paywall. A prayer request receives priority according to payment. A credential is sold without serious formation or assessment.

Resane (2017) warns that commercialised theological education can prioritise monetary gain, celebrity literature, and simplified online courses over substantial ministerial formation. This concern remains current. Mulutsi (2026) argues that inadequate pastoral formation and short online courses can leave neo-Pentecostal leaders vulnerable to scriptural error and abuse, while also recognising that cost and access barriers make intensive training difficult. The correction is not to despise accessible education. It is to refuse credentials that sell appearance without formation.

A ministry product should be evaluated through several questions. What labour and cost does the price represent? What claim is made about the product? Are vulnerable people pressured? Is essential biblical truth withheld to create recurring payment? Are scholarships or free alternatives available? Does the seller disclose limitations? Is the product accountable to qualified review? The price tag alone cannot answer these questions, but neither can the word *ministry* sanctify the price tag.

Franchises, licences, and ordination markets

Networks can provide accountability, training, resources, mission cooperation, and shared doctrine. Licensing can protect accurate use of materials. Ordination processes can test character, knowledge, and calling. The corruption begins when affiliation becomes a commercial ladder.

A central ministry may charge branches for names, materials, conferences, credentials, or access to the founder. Local churches may be required to send a percentage of income upward while receiving little meaningful pastoral support or financial transparency. Titles and ordinations may be multiplied because they generate fees and loyalty. The network expands statistically while local shepherding weakens.

Church breakaways in parts of African Pentecostalism have been analysed as one pathway through which religion becomes commodified, especially where platform and influence are treated as routes to income and status (Masenya & Masenya, 2018). Breakaway itself does not prove greed. Churches sometimes separate over doctrine, conscience, mission, persecution, or governance failure. The warning is that an ecclesial culture centred on personal platform can make founding a ministry appear equivalent to launching an enterprise.

The apostolic pattern was reproductive but not proprietary. Paul planted churches, appointed elders, revisited communities, sent co-workers, and maintained doctrinal authority. Yet he did not franchise his name. He rebuked believers who said, "I belong to Paul" (1 Corinthians 1:10–17). Apostolic multiplication directs churches toward Christ rather than converting them into branches of the apostle's personal estate.

Money, Governance, and the Architecture of Trust

Money is not a secular interruption of spiritual ministry. It reveals priorities, power, promises, and accountability. Jesus spoke frequently about wealth because the heart follows treasure. A church's accounts cannot measure its holiness, but they can expose whether public claims and actual allocations agree.

Financial opacity

Confidentiality and secrecy must be distinguished. Confidentiality protects personal data, salaries within appropriate processes, donor privacy, security, legal strategy, and vulnerable people. Secrecy protects leaders from legitimate scrutiny. A church need not publish every transaction to the internet, but those entrusted with governance must possess sufficient, accurate, and timely information to exercise real oversight.

Financial opacity appears when a small group controls accounts, budgets are not approved independently, compensation is set by beneficiaries, related-party transactions are undisclosed, audits are absent or inaccessible, restricted gifts are redirected without explanation, and members are told that asking questions reveals unbelief or dishonour.

Independent standards can assist without becoming a substitute for Scripture. The Evangelical Council for Financial Accountability (n.d.) requires responsible boards, accurate financial statements, independent review, lawful use of resources, transparency, appropriate compensation processes, conflict controls, and truthfulness in communications. These standards arise within a particular regulatory and evangelical setting and cannot simply be transplanted into every country. Their governing principles are widely applicable: those who handle sacred gifts should take pains to do what is honourable before God and people, as Paul teaches in 2 Corinthians 8:20–21.

An external audit is not spiritual infallibility. Auditors examine according to defined standards and available evidence. Fraud can escape detection, and a technically compliant organisation can remain morally corrupt. Nevertheless, independent financial examination reduces information asymmetry and signals that leaders do not consider themselves above ordinary verification.

Compensation and lifestyle

Scripture supports the material care of faithful ministers. The ox should not be muzzled, and the worker deserves wages. Poverty imposed upon pastors can damage families, distract ministry, and reward only those with private wealth. Churches should compensate workers fairly and responsibly.

The opposite error turns pastoral office into a route to luxury financed by people who struggle for necessities. The moral question cannot be answered by one universal salary cap because contexts differ. Housing, family size, taxation, security, travel, health care, education, and organisational responsibility vary. The relevant principles are proportionality, transparency, independent approval, modesty, justice, and the avoidance of scandalous disparity.

A compensation committee should not be composed of persons financially dependent upon the leader. Comparative data can assist but must not create an upward race in which every senior pastor is benchmarked against the highest-paid executive. Corporate salaries reward market scarcity and shareholder value. Pastoral compensation should reflect service, responsibility, local conditions, family needs, and the church's witness.

Lifestyle communicates theology even when no sermon addresses money. A leader may lawfully possess wealth from inheritance, business, writing, or prior work. Wealth is not proof of theft. Yet conspicuous display among impoverished congregants can teach that spiritual authority culminates in distance from ordinary life. The shepherd begins to resemble the elite whose favour the flock seeks.

Related-party transactions and private benefit

A related-party transaction occurs when an organisation conducts business with a leader, board member, relative, or entity connected to them. Such transactions are not automatically improper. A family member may provide the best legitimate service. The risk is that influence replaces fair comparison and ministry resources become private benefit.

Responsible practice requires disclosure, recusal, independent approval, comparable pricing, documentation, and a clear demonstration that the arrangement serves the church. The spiritual language of trust should never be used to avoid these controls. Trustworthy people welcome structures that protect both the ministry and their own reputation.

The same principle governs church-owned businesses, schools, property, and intellectual property. These assets can support mission. Their ownership, revenue flows, leadership interests, and use should be clear. A ministry name developed through congregational giving should not quietly become private property transferred to a leader's family.

Boards that cannot govern

A board is not independent merely because several names appear on paper. Members may be relatives, employees, beneficiaries, spiritual sons, business partners, or admirers. They may possess legal authority but lack moral freedom. A leader who appoints, removes, informs, pays, and spiritually ranks the board can effectively govern himself.

Healthy plurality requires qualified persons who can ask difficult questions without fear. They must have access to information, authority over compensation and discipline, clear terms, conflict policies, and responsibility to the whole church. External advisers can assist, but they should not replace local accountability.

Letswalo and Naidoo's (2024) study of ministerial accountability in New Pentecostal Prophetic churches found that some leaders understood themselves as autonomous and preferred personal guidance by the Holy Spirit over fixed structures, leaving members with little voice in leadership, finance, and decision-making. The study also identified personal, communal, and public dimensions of accountability. Its importance extends beyond one movement. Claims of spiritual leading do not abolish organisational responsibility. The Holy Spirit who inspired Scripture does not teach a leader to avoid the plurality, truthfulness, and stewardship Scripture requires.

Tagwirei (2023) similarly argues for mission-shaped governance in Zimbabwean Pentecostalism, addressing the personalisation of denominations and founder-centred structures. Governance is not a bureaucratic enemy of revival. Properly ordered, it protects mission from being captured by personality.

Families, Workers, Donors, and the Poor

Dynastic succession

Succession reveals what a church believes it is. If leadership passes automatically to a spouse or child, the institution may be functioning as a family estate. A relative may indeed be qualified, trusted, and called. The question is whether the process could reach a different conclusion if Scripture and evidence required it.

Biblical office is not hereditary under the new covenant. Timothy was Paul's true child in the faith, but the relationship was spiritual and ministerial, not dynastic. Paul instructed him to entrust teaching to faithful people able to teach others (2 Timothy 2:1-2). Qualification, fidelity, and capacity govern transmission.

A responsible succession process begins years before transition. It develops multiple leaders, separates family interests from church assets, clarifies authority, consults the congregation appropriately, examines doctrine and character, and allows independent discernment. A founder who truly loves the church prepares it to obey Christ without depending upon his bloodline.

Volunteer exploitation

The church is built through voluntary service. Believers offer time, skill, hospitality, music, teaching, administration, cleaning, care, giving, and prayer. Sacrifice belongs to discipleship. A commercial mentality can nevertheless exploit sacrifice while directing the benefits upward.

Volunteer abuse appears when people perform regular staff-level work without clear expectations, rest, support, or recognition; when refusal is treated as spiritual rebellion; when creative labour is used commercially without agreement; when interns work indefinitely in hope of ordination; or when exhausted workers are told that boundaries reveal lack of commitment.

The distinction between service and exploitation concerns freedom, proportionality, reciprocity, transparency, and benefit. A volunteer may joyfully give much. Exploitation occurs when leaders conceal the real demand, monopolise the gain, punish withdrawal, or use divine authority to compel labour. Mark 10:42-45 forbids leaders from imitating rulers who lord power over others. The Son of Man came to serve and give His life. Christian leadership cannot demand a cross from workers while reserving comfort for executives.

Paid staff also require justice. Churches sometimes invoke sacrifice to justify late salaries, unsafe conditions, unpaid overtime, vague contracts, or arbitrary dismissal while senior leaders remain protected. James 5:1-6 treats withheld wages as a cry reaching the Lord of Armies. A ministry cannot preach generosity while exploiting employees.

Donor manipulation

Fundraising is necessary for mission, mercy, education, property, and ordinary operations. Paul invited generosity, explained needs, organised collections, and reported trusted messengers. Biblical fundraising appeals to grace, truth, proportionality, and willing participation.

Manipulation uses fear, urgency, guilt, status, incomplete information, or promised spiritual return. A deadline may be real; it should not be fabricated. A need may be serious; alternatives and limitations should not be hidden. A leader should not imply that refusal to give places a person outside divine protection.

Donor concentration also creates governance risk. A small number of wealthy supporters may exert disproportionate influence. Leaders may avoid preaching truths that threaten funding. Donors may expect access, appointments, contracts, or policy influence. The church should honour generosity without selling control.

The poor as fundraising imagery

Images of suffering can awaken compassion and document need. They can also convert vulnerable people into promotional assets. Children, widows, refugees, rural communities, persons with disabilities, and disaster survivors may be photographed in humiliating conditions so that an institution can demonstrate impact.

The ethical question is not only whether the image raises money. Were informed consent and dignity protected? Could the person reasonably refuse? Is the story accurate? Are children identifiable? Does the image expose medical, financial, or family information? Will the funds be used as implied? Does the communication portray people as agents and neighbours, or only as objects of rescue?

The poor are not raw material for a ministry's moral brand. Jesus identifies with them. A church may tell truthful stories while refusing voyeurism. It can report impact without making poverty theatrical. It can share power by allowing communities to describe their own needs, decisions, and contributions.

This requires special care in international fundraising. Donors in wealthy countries may respond to simplified images of African need. Local ministries may feel pressure to present communities as helpless because complexity raises less money. The result can damage dignity, distort programme priorities, and reward institutions that tell the most marketable story rather than the truest one.

African Christianity in a Global Religious Market

African Christianity cannot be reduced to commercialisation. Across the continent, churches sustain prayer, evangelism, education, reconciliation, health care, burial support, food distribution, youth formation, family care, and mission under difficult conditions. Many pastors serve without stable salaries. Congregations give sacrificially from limited resources. Women, young people, and lay leaders carry extensive ministry that receives little public recognition. Any analysis that treats African Christianity only as a collection of celebrity prophets repeats the market's own mistake by seeing what attracts attention and ignoring ordinary faithfulness.

Commercial pressures are nevertheless real. Rapid urbanisation, youth unemployment, informal economies, migration, weak social protection, political patronage, inequality, and intense aspirations for mobility create environments in which promises of breakthrough have emotional power. Religious communities often provide networks, hope, identity, mutual aid, and moral formation where public systems are limited. The church may become one of the few institutions through which a young person imagines a future.

This context can encourage both faithful enterprise and exploitation. A church may teach skills, support businesses, create employment, and model stewardship. Another may convert economic desperation into recurring payment for access, prophecy, credentials, or status. The same mobile-money system that allows transparent giving can make emotionally pressured transfers instantaneous.

African neo-Pentecostal and prophetic movements are diverse. Some possess mature denominational structures, formal theological education, financial systems, and social ministries. Others are highly founder-centred and independent. Letswalo and Naidoo (2024) caution against flattening these differences while showing that accountability remains a serious concern in some New Pentecostal Prophetic churches. Mulutsi (2026) similarly acknowledges revival and conversion while arguing that inadequate pastoral formation can leave leaders vulnerable to error and abuse.

Commercialisation is not simply imported from the United States. Global television, books, conferences, music, migration, and social media have certainly circulated ministry models. African leaders have also adapted them to local spiritual, communal, and economic realities. They exercise agency. Local traditions of patronage, chieftaincy, honour, kinship, spiritual causality, and sacred specialists can interact with global corporate and celebrity forms. A founder may combine the authority of chief executive, patriarch, prophet, and media personality.

Political relationships intensify the risk. Governments may seek endorsement from leaders with large followings. Leaders may receive access, land, protection, status, or business opportunity. The church's public voice can then become entangled with patronage. This subject will receive fuller treatment in Chapter 19, but the commercial point is immediate: political access increases the brand value of the religious celebrity, while the leader's audience becomes valuable to political actors.

Diaspora networks can support mission and family welfare. They can also create accountability gaps when money crosses jurisdictions, legal systems, and currencies. A ministry may raise funds internationally for projects that local members cannot verify. Responsible transnational ministry therefore requires clear reporting, lawful structures, independent oversight, and respect for both donor and community dignity.

The response must not be a colonial demand that African churches imitate Western bureaucracy. Western churches have produced their own celebrity systems, financial scandals, prosperity markets, and institutional cover-ups. Accountability should be biblically grounded and contextually responsible. African resources such as communal responsibility and *ubuntu* can strengthen Christian stewardship when brought under Scripture. They can remind leaders that authority exists within relationships and that wealth carries obligations to the community. Yet culture must also be judged where it protects elders from questions, treats family control as natural, or confuses honour with immunity.

Nor should regulation be romanticised. Civil authorities have a legitimate interest in fraud, safety, labour, taxation, child protection, land, and criminal conduct. Religious freedom also protects churches from state control of doctrine and worship. The church's first answer to commercial corruption should not be a plea for government rescue. It should be repentance, sound doctrine, transparent governance, qualified leadership, safeguarding, and public truthfulness. When the church refuses self-correction, it makes coercive intervention more plausible.

The global picture is similar in form but different in context. North American celebrity evangelicalism often operates through publishing, podcasts, conferences, multisite churches, donor networks, and political media. Latin American and Asian churches may combine megachurch growth, broadcasting, entrepreneurial leadership, and local spiritual traditions in distinct ways. European churches may be less visibly prosperity-oriented yet adopt managerialism, branding, consultancy, and institutional survival strategies.

Catholic, Orthodox, Protestant, Pentecostal, charismatic, and independent traditions all face the temptation to protect institution, office, and image above truth.

The age has made celebrity transferable. A sermon preached in Lagos, Seoul, São Paulo, London, Manila, Kigali, or Dallas can circulate globally within hours. Followers compare local pastors with edited highlights from international stars. Leaders compare buildings, stages, titles, clothing, security, travel, and audience size. A global hierarchy of visibility emerges, and ordinary local faithfulness can appear insignificant.

The biblical answer is not provincial isolation. The church is global, and believers should learn from one another. The answer is to reject the assumption that what travels widely is therefore spiritually weighty. A seed may carry more life than a billboard.

The Strongest Defence of Professionalised and Branded Ministry

A fair critique must hear the serious opposing case. Large churches require competent administration. Money must be counted, staff supervised, buildings maintained, safeguarding enforced, laws obeyed, and complex programmes evaluated. Strategic planning can prevent waste and clarify mission. A recognisable identity can help people find trustworthy teaching. Digital media can carry the gospel to the homebound, the diaspora, the curious, and the persecuted. Publishing and commercial activity can finance translation, education, relief, and mission. Competitive compensation can attract capable administrators, accountants, teachers, counsellors, and technical staff.

Metrics can reveal whether programmes work. Attendance data may show that a community is not being reached. Financial reporting can identify declining sustainability. Follow-up systems can ensure that newcomers are not forgotten. Multisite structures can place resources in communities that lack them. Shared branding can protect doctrinal consistency. Family succession may preserve continuity where a trusted relative has served for years and meets biblical qualifications. Strong central leadership may allow rapid response during crisis.

Conferences can create fellowship and concentrate training. A fee may be necessary to cover real costs. Books, music, and courses are products of labour. Private financial details may require confidentiality. Public visibility can give Christian leaders access to policymakers and cultural institutions. Removing every professional method would not create a New Testament church; it could create disorder, waste, legal exposure, and preventable harm.

This defence is substantially correct. The chapter does not advocate incompetence, anti-intellectualism, unpaid labour, technological withdrawal, or smallness as holiness. The church should use every lawful tool under Christ. Daniel administered within an empire without worshipping it. Joseph managed food systems without making Pharaoh saviour. Paul planned routes, delegated tasks, raised funds, and coordinated translocal ministry.

The dispute concerns the order of goods. Professionalism is a servant, not an ecclesiology. Branding is a signpost, not an identity. Metrics are instruments, not judgments. Compensation supports service, not entitlement. Confidentiality protects people, not concealment. Central leadership coordinates gifts, not private ownership. Scale enlarges responsibility rather than proving blessing.

A tool can serve Christ only when the church retains the freedom to abandon it if obedience requires. Would the ministry tell the truth if donors left? Would it discipline the founder if attendance fell? Would it publish a correction that damaged the brand? Would it close an unfaithful branch rather than protect expansion figures? Would it choose a qualified successor outside the family? Would it reduce a leader's compensation to care for unpaid workers? Would it refuse a political patron who offered access at the price of silence?

The strongest opposing case therefore does not defeat the critique. It clarifies it. The question is not whether modern organisation can serve ministry. It is whether the church can use modern organisation without allowing the organisation to become its practical lord.

Christ Cleanses the Court of Worship

Matthew 21:12-17 records Jesus entering the temple, driving out those buying and selling, overturning the tables of money changers and the seats of dove sellers, and declaring, "My house will be called a house of prayer, but you are making it a den of thieves." The scene is sometimes reduced to the claim that no commercial transaction may occur on church property. The text is more theologically charged.

Jesus enters Jerusalem as the messianic King. His temple action enacts judgment upon corrupt worship and leadership. He joins Isaiah 56:7, where God's house is a house of prayer for all nations, with Jeremiah 7:11, where those who oppress, shed innocent blood, commit idolatry, and trust in the temple have made it a den of robbers. A den is not primarily where robbers steal. It is where they retreat for safety after robbery. Religious institution becomes refuge for injustice.

Sacrificial animals and currency exchange had practical roles in temple worship. The condemnation cannot be established merely from the presence of buying and selling. The issue includes the location, domination, injustice, obstruction of prayer, and leadership system that had made sacred space serve exploitation. Mark's parallel places the incident after Jesus' inspection of the temple and within a narrative of judgment on fruitlessness (Mark 11:11-21).

Matthew immediately contrasts the commercial system with the kingdom's recipients. The blind and lame come to Jesus in the temple, and He heals them. Children cry, "Hosanna to the Son of David." The chief priests and scribes become indignant. The space from which commerce is expelled becomes a place of healing and praise. Christ does not cleanse worship to create emptiness. He restores access to God and reveals the rightful King.

The passage therefore judges more than a bookstore in a church foyer. A book table may distribute faithful teaching at a fair price. The deeper question is whether the house of prayer has been captured by a system that burdens worshippers, excludes the vulnerable, protects leaders, and turns sacred need into gain. Christ overturns not only tables but the institutional confidence that assumes religious activity can shelter exploitation.

The church should hear the warning before applying it to others. A sanctuary can become a den when financial secrecy hides wrongdoing, when the poor are pressured, when leaders retreat behind sacred titles, and when the institution's holiness is assumed despite injustice. Christ still walks among the lampstands (Revelation 1:12-20). He is not impressed by a successful religious market in His Father's name.

The Shepherd, the Hireling, the Thief, and the Wolf

In John 10:1-18, Jesus develops a pastoral contrast within the wider conflict over the healing of the man born blind. The religious leaders had interrogated, insulted, and expelled the healed man. Jesus finds him. Against leaders who claim sight while rejecting the Shepherd, Christ identifies Himself as the gate and the Good Shepherd.

The sheep know the shepherd's voice because he enters rightly, calls them by name, leads them out, and goes before them. The thief comes to steal, kill, and destroy. The stranger's voice is not trusted. The hired hand abandons the sheep when the wolf comes because the sheep are not his and he does not care about them. The Good Shepherd lays down His life for the sheep.

This passage does not condemn receiving wages. Jesus' criticism of the hireling concerns ultimate attachment and courage, not the existence of payment. Scripture supports pastoral compensation. The hireling exposes the leader whose service lasts only while personal benefit and safety remain. When danger threatens the brand, income, or status, he preserves himself and leaves the flock exposed.

Commercialised ministry reverses the Good Shepherd's pattern. It asks how the sheep can sustain the shepherd's platform. Jesus asks how the Shepherd will give Himself for the sheep. Celebrity leadership knows audiences by categories and analytics. Christ knows His own and is known by them. The brand protects the leader from ordinary access. The Good Shepherd enters the vulnerability of embodied life and death.

The shepherd's knowledge is not sentimental familiarity with every preference. He leads. Sheep do not define the pasture. Pastoral care includes doctrine, correction, discipline, and protection. The consumer church errs by making the sheep's immediate satisfaction sovereign. The exploitative church errs by treating sheep as resources. Christ refuses both. He gives abundant life through His own authority and sacrifice.

The passage also relativises every human pastor. Jesus is not one celebrity shepherd among others. He is the Good Shepherd in a unique and saving sense. Human elders shepherd only under Him. Their voices deserve trust insofar as they echo His Word. A leader who cultivates exclusive attachment to himself competes with the Shepherd whose sheep he claims to serve.

Paul Among the Elders: No Coveting, No Concealment

Acts 20:17–35 records Paul's farewell to the Ephesian elders. It is one of the New Testament's most concentrated portraits of accountable ministry. Paul appeals to a life the elders had observed. He served with humility, tears, and trials. He did not shrink from declaring what was profitable, taught publicly and from house to house, testified to repentance toward God and faith in Jesus, and declared the whole plan of God.

His ministry is transparent enough to be remembered. He does not rely upon a curated narrative unavailable to those who lived beside him. Public teaching and private conduct agree. The celebrity persona depends upon distance; Pauline credibility appeals to witnessed life.

Paul then commands the elders to watch over themselves and the flock. Self-watch precedes oversight. The leader is not exempt from the doctrine he teaches. The church belongs to God, wolves will enter, and even men from among the elders will distort truth to draw disciples after themselves. The phrase identifies the spiritual ambition beneath celebrity ministry: not merely to make disciples, but to draw disciples *after themselves*.

Paul's financial testimony is equally direct: "I have not coveted anyone's silver or gold or clothing." His own hands ministered to his needs and companions. Paul elsewhere accepted support and defended the right of gospel workers to receive it. His Ephesian practice was contextual and missional, not a universal command that every pastor must be bivocational. Its moral force lies in freedom from covetousness and willingness to surrender rights so that the gospel is not discredited.

He tells the elders to help the weak and remember Jesus' saying that it is more blessed to give than receive. Commercialised ministry trains leaders to calculate what can be extracted from attention, access, and loyalty. Paul trains elders to use strength for the weak. The direction of benefit is reversed.

The passage also establishes doctrinal courage as governance. A board may review finances while allowing the leader to distort truth. Paul joins financial integrity, doctrinal completeness, personal example, vigilance, and care. Accountability is not one department. It is a way of standing before God and the flock.

Shepherding Without Shameful Gain or Domination

1 Peter 5:1–5 addresses elders as a fellow elder who witnessed Christ's sufferings and shares in coming glory. Peter commands them to shepherd God's flock, exercising oversight not under compulsion but willingly, not for shameful gain but eagerly, and not lording it over those entrusted to them but being examples.

Three contrasts govern the office. Willingness opposes resentful compulsion. Eagerness opposes shameful gain. Example opposes domination. The elder's authority is real, but its form is cruciform. He does not rule through celebrity distance or executive immunity. His life demonstrates the teaching.

"Shameful gain" includes more than illegal money. Gain becomes shameful when the office is used to secure wealth, status, access, sexual opportunity, family advancement, political power, or personal devotion contrary to the flock's good. A salary may be honourable; free labour extracted through spiritual pressure may be shameful. A modest church may pursue shameful gain through control, while a well-resourced church may compensate workers honourably.

Peter locates final reward at the appearing of the Chief Shepherd. Faithful elders will receive an unfading crown of glory. This eschatological horizon frees ministers from manufacturing glory now. Followers, titles, buildings, and applause fade. The leader who believes Christ sees hidden faithfulness does not need to convert every act of service into content.

The command to younger believers and to all is humility. Accountability cannot be imposed upon leaders while congregations remain addicted to status. The whole church must clothe itself with humility because God resists the proud and gives grace to the humble. Celebrity systems are sustained by collective pride: the leader's pride in prominence and the follower's pride in association.

Diotrephes and the Love of First Place

3 John 5–10 offers a brief but penetrating portrait of ecclesial self-preservation. Gaius is commended for faithful hospitality toward travelling brothers. Diotrephes, "who loves to have first place," refuses apostolic authority, spreads malicious words, withholds hospitality, prevents others from receiving the brothers, and expels those who resist.

The text does not describe a sophisticated corporation, but it reveals the spiritual grammar of founder-centred control. The love of prominence produces information warfare, closed networks, exclusion, and punishment. Diotrephes cannot allow outside ministers because hospitality would weaken his monopoly. He cannot receive correction because first place requires control over whose voice enters the church.

Commercial celebrity systems can reproduce the pattern. Platforms are controlled, critics maligned, partnerships selected according to loyalty, and members punished for independent relationships. Chapter 13 will examine coercive spiritual authority in full.

Here the structural point is enough: the love of first place transforms hospitality, communication, and membership into instruments of personal security.

John does not advise Gaius to imitate the successful leader or preserve unity through silence. He promises to call attention to Diotrephes' works. Apostolic love names conduct. The church should not confuse exposure of verified wrongdoing with disloyalty. Public harm may require public correction.

The five governing passages converge. Christ cleanses sacred systems that hide exploitation. The Good Shepherd gives His life rather than harvesting the flock. Paul rejects covetousness and declares the whole counsel of God. Peter forbids shameful gain and domination. John exposes the leader who loves first place. None permits the church to be treated as a private commercial asset.

What Commercialised Christianity Produces

Commercialisation is not a harmless change of style. It forms doctrine, leaders, congregations, and institutions.

Doctrinally, it relocates the meaning of blessing. Visible expansion becomes evidence that God approves. Suffering, obscurity, decline, or institutional death become difficult to interpret except as failure. The theology of the cross remains in creeds but loses organisational authority. A church may preach that Christ saved through apparent defeat while refusing any strategy that risks numerical loss.

Spiritually, it trains desire toward proximity, recognition, access, and measurable success. Members learn to admire the platform more than the character required to stand upon it. Young ministers imagine calling as visibility. Prayer becomes preparation for performance. Scripture becomes material for content production. The hidden life with God is neglected because it generates no analytics.

Pastorally, commercial systems weaken mutual knowledge. A congregation can consume a leader's teaching while remaining unknown to qualified shepherds. People may mistake emotional attachment to a distant personality for membership in Christ's body. Local pastors are compared with global celebrities whose weaknesses, ordinary routines, and relational failures are edited out.

Ecclesially, the church becomes difficult to correct. Revenue depends upon image. Staff depend upon revenue. Partners depend upon association. A truthful investigation threatens the whole network. The institution therefore learns to protect the person who protects the institution.

Morally, partiality grows. Those with wealth, status, media reach, or strategic usefulness receive honour. The poor are either ignored or displayed. Workers are asked to sacrifice while leaders receive privilege. Family members inherit opportunity. Truth becomes unevenly applied.

Economically, resources may shift from discipleship, mercy, theological formation, local pastoral care, and worker welfare toward stages, campaigns, travel, image management, expansion, and executive lifestyles. None of these expenditures is automatically wrong. The problem is the order. A ministry can afford cameras but not fair wages, a new campus but not safeguarding, a conference guest but not care for widows.

Socially, religious celebrity can deepen public cynicism. When ministries preach sacrificial giving while hiding finances, unbelievers do not merely reject one leader. They associate the gospel with manipulation. The vulnerable may abandon church altogether. Faithful pastors inherit distrust they did not create.

Eternally, commercial success cannot survive judgment. 1 Corinthians 3:10-15 warns that each person's work will be tested. Fire does not respect brand recognition. Matthew 7:21-23 warns that impressive ministry claims do not substitute for knowing Christ. The final audit is not conducted by followers, donors, regulators, or publishers. It is conducted by the Lord whose eyes are like flame.

Correction, Rebuke, Exhortation, and Restoration

To leaders who knowingly exploit the church

You know the difference between support and sale. You know when a testimony is incomplete, when urgency is manufactured, when a board is dependent, when family transactions are hidden, when premium access trades upon spiritual longing, and when the poor are being used to finance your image.

Stop calling manipulation wisdom. Stop calling vanity excellence. Stop calling personal ownership vision. Stop using the name of the Holy Spirit to prevent questions the apostles would have welcomed. The church is not your inheritance. The people are not your customers. Their gifts do not purchase your loyalty to truth, and their poverty does not provide a stage for your prosperity.

Repentance must become visible. Open the records to qualified independent review. Disclose conflicts. Return misused funds. Correct public falsehood publicly. Step aside while allegations are investigated. Accept discipline. Do not assume that forgiveness restores you automatically to office. Grace rescues sinners, but pastoral qualification includes a credible character that exploitation may have destroyed.

There is mercy for the repentant, but mercy does not negotiate with concealment. Zacchaeus did not prove repentance by changing vocabulary. He restored what he had taken (Luke 19:1-10).

To leaders who inherited unhealthy systems

You may not have designed the structure. You may have entered a church where the founder's family controlled assets, financial records were inaccessible, staff boundaries were weak, and loyalty was treated as governance. Inheritance does not equal guilt, but knowledge creates responsibility.

Do not preserve dysfunction merely because reform may offend honoured people. Distinguish gratitude from immunity. Begin with an independent assessment. Clarify legal ownership, board authority, compensation, conflicts, safeguarding, succession, intellectual property, and donor restrictions. Tell the congregation what can be told truthfully. Reform may be slow, but delay should not become disguise.

You may lose influence. Some supporters prefer a powerful father figure to accountable plurality. Some family members may interpret reform as betrayal. Obedience is still required.

To faithful pastors burdened by administration

Do not receive this chapter as an accusation against every spreadsheet, meeting, fundraising appeal, salary, building project, or communication plan. Your labour may be heavy precisely because you refuse to exploit people. Administration can be love made orderly.

Receive help. Develop competent elders and staff. Use lawful systems. Pay attention to risk. Keep records. Protect children. Budget for rest and pastoral care. Let others see the accounts. The answer to corporate domination is not pastoral chaos.

Guard your soul. A dashboard can become a mirror in which you seek identity. A declining number can accuse you falsely; a rising number can flatter you falsely. Let Scripture, qualified brethren, family, and prayer tell you the truth. You are a servant. That is not a small calling.

To ministers seduced by visibility

You may have begun by wanting people to hear Christ. The first invitation seemed like stewardship. The first viral clip enlarged opportunity. Soon the platform began to ask for constant output, controversy, intimacy, and confidence. You now feel guilty when you are not visible and anxious when another minister grows faster.

Return to the secret place. Refuse to make every prayer, gift, journey, grief, child, or act of generosity part of the public persona. Allow work to remain unseen. Submit your teaching to people who are not impressed by your reach. Cultivate relationships in which you cannot hide behind the platform.

A platform may be used without being loved. If you cannot obey Christ when obedience reduces reach, the platform has become a master.

To spouses and children treated as heirs

Your family relationship does not make you responsible for another person's corruption, and it does not disqualify genuine service. Yet you should not accept office as an inheritance if you do not meet biblical qualifications. Love for a parent does not require continuation of a system built around that parent's name.

Refuse the burden of performing an anointing that belongs to no bloodline. Seek honest examination. Be willing to serve without title. Be willing for another person to lead. The freedom to say no may be one of the kindest gifts you offer the church and your family.

To church employees and volunteers

Your service matters. Sacrifice can be holy. Exploitation is not. You may ask for clear expectations, fair treatment, rest, safe conditions, truthful contracts, and accountability without rebelling against God. Spiritual honour does not require silence about withheld wages, dangerous practice, or misuse of work.

Document serious concerns. Use responsible internal channels where they are credible. Seek mature counsel. Where law requires reporting, comply. Do not spread unverified accusations, but do not allow a demand for perfect evidence to become a method of permanent concealment.

If you must leave, leaving a corrupt institution is not leaving Christ. Recovery may require time, professional care, and a healthy local church where service is not currency.

To donors

Your gift does not make you owner, but it does make stewardship relevant. Give freely, not to purchase access or control. Ask what the ministry teaches, how it governs, how funds are used, who reviews the accounts, how leaders are compensated, how conflicts are managed, and how complaints are handled.

Do not be impressed by scale alone. A polished report can conceal vanity, and a simple report can reflect honest poverty. Look for truthful proportion, independent oversight, and willingness to acknowledge weakness. Restrict gifts clearly when necessary, but do not use money to silence biblical preaching.

Support ordinary faithfulness. The small church, local translator, rural pastor, caregiver, theological teacher, and quiet mercy worker may lack promotional machinery. The kingdom's most fruitful work is not always the most visible investment opportunity.

To congregations that create idols

Some leaders love first place because congregations continually offer it. You may prefer a powerful personality because discernment is tiring. You may boast that your pastor is unmatched, defend what you have not examined, attack those who ask questions, or seek status through access to the platform.

Repent of borrowed glory. Honour faithful leaders, but do not give them worship disguised as honour. Read Scripture. Know the elders. Ask whether character matches teaching. Refuse gossip and slander, but refuse automatic defence. A leader's gift does not cancel the church's duty to test doctrine and fruit.

Do not demand celebrity performance from ordinary pastors. Allow them to be human, local, accountable, and present. A shepherd who knows your name may serve you better than a global personality who appears to speak directly to you through a screen.

To those harmed by commercialised ministry

You may have given money you could not afford, worked until exhaustion, trusted a leader who used your story, or watched your questions reclassified as rebellion. The wrong done to you was not made holy because it occurred in church.

Christ is not the merchant who deceived you. The gospel is not the receipt you were sold. Bring the wound into light with trustworthy people. Seek financial, legal, medical, or psychological assistance where needed. Learn again to distinguish God from the institution that misrepresented Him.

Forgiveness does not require denial, immediate trust, return to danger, or restoration of a leader to office. Justice and mercy are not enemies. Christ receives the bruised without asking them to protect the reputation of those who bruised them.

To small and hidden churches

Do not despise the day of small things (Zechariah 4:10). Smallness can conceal sin, and growth can be grace. Yet limited visibility is not evidence that God has forgotten you.

Teach the Word. Pray. Baptise. Break bread. Care for the sick. Protect children. Pay workers honestly. Receive strangers. Discipline sin. Support mission. Prepare people to suffer and die in hope. Heaven records faithfulness that platforms cannot measure.

Rebuilding Ministry Under the Chief Shepherd

Repentance must produce structures that make future faithfulness more likely. Policies cannot regenerate hearts, but lack of policy can give unregenerate desire easy access to money, people, and power.

Recover ecclesial identity

Teach the church as body, household, temple, flock, and Bride. Membership should mean more than a database entry. Clarify mutual responsibilities, doctrine, leadership, discipline, care, and mission. Reduce language that treats people as customers or the church as the founder's possession.

Regularly preach Christ's ownership of the church. Leaders should say in practice, not only in theory, "These are His sheep." Decisions about money, branding, access, and expansion should be tested against that confession.

Establish qualified plurality

Local churches should develop biblically qualified elders or overseers according to their ecclesial convictions, ensuring that no single person controls doctrine, finance, discipline, succession, and information. Plurality is not merely several assistants beneath one sovereign leader. It requires shared moral responsibility and the ability to correct one another.

Board members should be selected for character, wisdom, independence, relevant competence, and commitment to the church's mission. Terms, meeting frequency, access to information, recusal procedures, and removal processes should be clear. A majority should not be financially dependent upon the senior leader.

Make financial stewardship visible

Prepare complete and accurate accounts. Use budgets approved before expenditure. Separate authorisation, custody, recording, and review where capacity permits. Conduct independent audits or reviews appropriate to the organisation's size and legal setting. Report to members and donors in clear language.

Disclose compensation-setting procedures and related-party transactions. Establish conflict-of-interest policies. Require recusal. Document restricted gifts. Prohibit private use of ministry assets except through approved, transparent arrangements. Maintain reserves responsibly without allowing accumulation to replace mission.

Transparency should include bad news. Report material errors, corrections, and significant unmet goals. Where public claims were false, correct them in the same arena.

Reform fundraising and paid access

Every appeal should state the purpose, amount, urgency, use, and limitations truthfully. Avoid promises that giving will purchase spiritual outcomes. Do not connect donation levels to prophetic access, prayer priority, honour, or leadership influence.

Price products according to real value and cost. Provide scholarships, libraries, free teaching, or lower-cost access where possible. Never place the gospel, ordinary prayer, church membership, discipline, or basic pastoral care behind a payment wall.

Review conferences for partiality. Remove unnecessary status tiers. Make accessibility, disability accommodation, child safety, and inclusion of poor believers part of planning rather than marketing language.

Protect workers and volunteers

Use written role descriptions. Clarify whether work is voluntary, employed, contracted, or intern-based. Establish working hours, supervision, rest, grievance channels, and safe conditions. Pay wages on time. Do not use spiritual language to avoid labour law or justice.

Volunteer service should be genuinely voluntary, proportionate, and supported. Build rotations. Honour hidden work without turning recognition into competition. Ensure that senior leaders also carry visible costs and do not place every sacrifice downward.

Govern succession before crisis

Create succession policies while the founder is healthy. Develop several leaders. Define the congregation's role. Separate family interests from ecclesial assets. Require the same doctrinal, moral, and competency assessment for relatives as for others. Use external facilitation where necessary.

A successor should inherit responsibility, not personal ownership. The institution should be able to outlive a name without denying gratitude for the founder.

Discipline branding and platforms

Let organisational identity point toward mission rather than personality. Avoid unnecessary use of the leader's face, name, signature, family, or lifestyle as the ministry's central symbol. Distinguish official church communication from personal commercial activity.

Create disclosure policies for sponsorships, endorsements, affiliate income, and monetised content. Separate pastoral counsel from influencer marketing. Protect children and family members from being used as content. Establish theological and ethical review for high-impact media.

Use metrics in a balanced dashboard that includes what commercial systems neglect: doctrinal faithfulness, safeguarding, member care, worker wellbeing, financial integrity, local service, leadership development, complaint resolution, and generosity to those who cannot repay.

Form ministers before platforming them

Theological education should include exegesis, doctrine, church history, pastoral theology, ethics, finance, governance, safeguarding, digital communication, labour practice, and supervised ministry. Charisma should not outrun character. Communication ability should not be mistaken for qualification.

Institutions should resist selling titles and accelerated credentials without serious formation. Accessible education is necessary, especially where cost and geography exclude leaders. Accessibility should be achieved through contextual design, scholarships, mentoring, blended learning, and rigorous assessment, not through the sale of appearance.

Build channels for complaint and correction

Members, staff, volunteers, and beneficiaries need credible ways to raise concerns. Complaints should be documented, assessed impartially, and protected from retaliation. Serious allegations should be referred to independent investigators and civil authorities where required.

Confidentiality must protect persons and due process, not the institution's image. Leaders should not control investigations into themselves. Public findings should be communicated proportionately and truthfully.

Honour ordinary pastoral faithfulness

The deepest reform is cultural. Churches must stop teaching young ministers that significance means platform. Celebrate the elder who visits, the teacher who prepares carefully, the administrator who protects funds, the volunteer who serves without display, the pastor who refuses manipulation, and the congregation that remains faithful without becoming fashionable.

The church does not overcome celebrity by creating a new celebrity class of anti-celebrity critics. It overcomes celebrity through a shared life in which gifts are honoured, no member becomes indispensable, correction is normal, and Christ receives the glory.

In the Last Second Before Midnight

The church is living near eternity. No one knows the day or hour of Christ's return (Matthew 24:36). The last second before midnight is therefore not a timetable. It is a call to moral wakefulness. Every generation must watch, and every servant is one breath from account.

This is no time to build a ministry that cannot survive truth. No time to protect a name that will soon be spoken before the Judge. No time to turn sheep into statistics, prayer into premium access, poverty into advertising, or the pulpit into a launchpad for family empire.

There is still time to repent, but there is no time to delay repentance.

Pastors, remember whose flock you touch. Board members, govern rather than decorate letterhead. Donors, refuse to purchase influence. Congregations, stop feeding the pride you later condemn. Publishers and conference organisers, do not make recognition the gate through which every voice must pass. Christian media workers, do not call manipulation engagement. Theological institutions, stop selling titles without formation. Families of founders, release what never belonged to the bloodline.

The remedy is not hostility to organisation. Put organisation beneath Christ. Keep accounts because the money is His. Plan because time is His. Train leaders because the flock is His. Use media because truth should travel. Pay workers because justice belongs to Him. Build where building serves worship and neighbour-love. Close what must be closed when preservation requires disobedience.

A ministry may lose followers and become more faithful. It may reduce revenue and become more generous. It may surrender a founder's name and become more clearly Christ's. It may confess failure and become more trustworthy. It may remove a celebrated leader and save the flock.

Revelation 2-3 shows the risen Christ evaluating churches differently from public reputation. One has a reputation for being alive and is dead. Another says it is rich and needs nothing but is poor, blind, and naked. Smyrna is materially poor and spiritually rich. Philadelphia has little power yet keeps Christ's Word. The Lord's assessment is not captured by a growth report.

Rapture readiness cannot be manufactured by prophetic excitement while the institution worships visibility. The Bride prepares by faith, holiness, truth, love, endurance, and loyalty to the Bridegroom. Oil is not a brand asset. The wise virgins cannot borrow it from a celebrated leader at midnight (Matthew 25:1-13).

Christ does not own a brand among competing brands. He is the Head of the church. The flock was purchased by His blood, not acquired through marketing. Ministers are stewards, not proprietors. Congregants are members of one body, not consumers. Spiritual gifts are entrusted for service, not converted into personal empires. Faithfulness remains the measure even when it costs money, visibility, property, invitations, political access, or institutional survival.

Let the tables be overturned where commerce obstructs prayer. Let the hireling repent before the wolf arrives. Let elders watch themselves and the flock. Let those who love first place descend from it. Let the hidden records come into light. Let workers receive justice. Let the poor recover their names. Let the church become ordinary enough to be holy and courageous enough to tell the truth.

The returning King does not ask whether the servant became a brand. He asks whether the servant was faithful.

Commercialised ministry becomes especially destructive when the leader who controls the brand, revenue, institution, and platform converts spiritual authority into fear, dependency, secrecy, domination, and exploitation. Chapter 13 must therefore examine false shepherds, spiritual tyranny, and the ensnaring of the innocent.

References

Bartolome, R. E. (2025). Between pulpit and platform: Parasocial bonds in Filipino priests' social media ministry. *SEARCH Journal of Media and Communication Research, 17*(2), 71-86. https://doi.org/10.58946/search-17.2.P5

Beaty, K. (2022). *Celebrities for Jesus: How personas, platforms, and profits are hurting the church*. Brazos Press.

Campbell, H. A. (2020). *Digital creatives and the rethinking of religious authority*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003045625

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review, 48*(2), 147-160. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101

Einstein, M. (2008). *Brands of faith: Marketing religion in a commercial age*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203938874

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Agency theory: An assessment and review. *Academy of Management Review, 14*(1), 57-74. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4279003

Evangelical Council for Financial Accountability. (n.d.). *ECFA's integrity standards*. Retrieved July 23, 2026, from https://www.ecfa.org/Standards.aspx

Horton, D., & Wohl, R. R. (1956). Mass communication and para-social interaction: Observations on intimacy at a distance. *Psychiatry, 19*(3), 215-229. https://doi.org/10.1080/00332747.1956.11023049

Letswalo, S. E., & Naidoo, M. (2024). Understanding ministerial accountability in the New Pentecostal Prophetic churches. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies, 80*(1), a10161. https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v80i1.10161

Masenya, M., & Masenya, M. (2018). Church breakaways as a prototype of commercialisation and commodification of religion in the Pentecostal Church Movement in South Africa: Considering curricula offerings for pastors. *Stellenbosch Theological Journal, 4*(2), 633-654. https://doi.org/10.17570/stj.2018.v4n2.a29

Miller, V. J. (2004). *Consuming religion: Christian faith and practice in a consumer culture*. Continuum.

Mulutsi, B. D. (2026). Inadequate pastoral training and insufficient ministerial formation within Neo-Pentecostal black churches may lie behind scriptural error and abuse. *Stellenbosch Theological Journal, 12*(2), Article a2. https://doi.org/10.17570/stj.2026.v12n2.a2

Nieborg, D. B., & Poell, T. (2018). The platformization of cultural production: Theorizing the contingent cultural commodity. *New Media & Society, 20*(11), 4275-4292. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818769694

Resane, K. T. (2017). Commercialisation of theological education as a challenge in the Neo-Pentecostal Charismatic churches. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies, 73*(3), a4548. https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v73i3.4548

Sangwa, S. (2025). Personal branding and the Christian witness: A theological and ethical critique of self-promotion in professional spaces. *Open Journal of Business Theology, 5*(3). https://doi.org/10.65655/6kvtdz62

Sangwa, S. (2026). *Prosperity gospel, spiritual commerce, and ministry manipulation: A Sola Scriptura guide to gospel clarity, faithful giving, and protection from religious exploitation*. Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S., & Mutabazi, P. (2025). The business of blessing: Commercialization of Christian ministry in Pentecostal, Charismatic, and Evangelical movements. *Open Journal of Business Theology, 5*(2). https://doi.org/10.65655/016ts873

Tagwirei, K. (2023). Missionizing church governance: Transfiguring Pentecostalism in Zimbabwe. *Religions, 14*(10), 1278. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel14101278

Weber, M. (1978). *Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology* (G. Roth & C. Wittich, Eds.). University of California Press. (Original work published 1922)

White, P. (2019). Missional branding: A case study of the Church of Pentecost. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies, 75*(4), a5278. https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v75i4.5278

White, P., & Pondani, S. (2022). Church-franchise: Missional innovation for church planting and leadership mentorship in Neo-Pentecostal and Neo-Prophetic churches in Africa. *Religions, 13*(8), 698. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13080698